

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313:1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/670,770 09/26/2003 Yukitomo Yuhara  25227 7590 05/14/2007 MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP 1650 TYSONS BOULEVARD SUITE 400 MCLEAN, VA 22102		Yukitomo Yuhara	371312001900	4332
		EXAMINER		
			POLLICOFF, STEVEN B	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•			3728	
				7
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/14/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.



## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	-
10/670,770	YUHARA, YUKITOMO	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Steven B. Pollicoff	3728	

before the rining of all Appeal bile	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Steven B. Pollicoff	3728		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ado	ress	
THE REPLY FILED <u>18 April 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP		•		
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mu	Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)	
	this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In pire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.			
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	(b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Off	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as	
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the		
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,</li> <li>(a) They raise new issues that would require further co</li> <li>(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)</li> <li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be</li> </ol>	nsideration and/or search (see NO ow);	TE below);		
appeal; and/or  (d) They present additional claims without canceling a  NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally rej			
4. ☐ The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 5. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 6. ☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:		ll be entered and an	explanation of	
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>5-6</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		•		
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	•	,		
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ils to provide a 1).	
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attac	hed.	
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet.</li> </ol>		n condition for allowa	nce because:	
<ul><li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).</li><li>13. ☐ Other:</li></ul>	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).			

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant fails to structurally define the invention over the prior art of record. Applicant argues that Yuhara and Kang fail to suggest a "replaceable" cover attached to and covering a lid. This is unpersuasive because Yuhara and Kang clearly teach a cover that replaceably attaches to a lid (i.e. from an opened position to a closed position).

Mickey Yu

Supervisory Patent Examiner

Group 3700